arXiv:0802.0890v1 [math.CV] 6 Feb 2008

CLOSED IDEALS IN SOME ALGEBRAS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

BRAHIM BOUYA

Abstract. We obtain a complete description of closed ideals of the algebra $\mathcal{D}\cap \mathrm{lip}_{\alpha}$, $0<\alpha\leq\frac{1}{2}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the Dirichlet space and lip_{α} is the algebra of analytic functions satisfying the Lipschitz condition of order α.

1. Introduction

The Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$ consists of the complex-valued analytic functions f on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ with finite Dirichlet integral

$$D(f):=\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|^2dA(z)<+\infty,$$

where $dA(z)=\frac{1}{\pi}rdrdt$ denotes the normalized area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. Equipped with the pointwise algebraic operations and the norm

$$\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{D}}:=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}|f(e^{it})|^2dt+D(f)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(1+n)|\hat{f}(n)|^2,$$

$\mathcal{D}$ becomes a Hilbert space. For $0<\alpha\leq 1$, let lip_{α} be the algebra of analytic functions f on $\mathbb{D}$ that are continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ satisfing the Lipschitz condition of order α on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$:

$$|f(z)-f(w)|=o(|z-w|^{\alpha})\qquad(\ |z-w|\to 0).$$

Note that this condition is equivalent to

$$|f'(z)|=o((1-|z|)^{\alpha-1})\qquad(|z|\to 1^-).$$

Then, lip_{α} is a Banach algebra when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\alpha}:=\|f\|_{\infty}+\sup\{(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha}|f'(z)|:z\in\mathbb{D}\}.$$

Here $\|f\|_{\infty}:=\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|$. Unlike as for the case when $0<\alpha\leq 1/2$, the inclusion $\mathrm{lip}_{\alpha}\subset\mathcal{D}$ always holds provided that $1/2<\alpha\leq 1$. In what follows, let $0<\alpha\leq 1/2$ and define $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}:=\mathcal{D}\cap\mathrm{lip}_{\alpha}$. It is easy

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 46E20; secondary 30C85, 47A15.

This work was partially supported by the "Action Integrée Franco-Marocaine" No. MA/03/64.

to check that $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is a commutative Banach algebra when it is endowed with the pointwise algebraic operations and the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} := \|f\|_\alpha + D^{1/2}(f) \qquad (f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha).$$

In order to describe the closed ideals in subalgebras of the disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$, it is natural to make use of Nevanlinna's factorization theory. For $f \in A(\mathbb{D})$ there is a canonical factorization $f = C_f U_f O_f$, where C_f is a constant, U_f a inner function that is $|U_f| = 1$ $a.e$ on $\mathbb{T}$ and O_f the outer function given by

$$O_f(z) = \exp\Big\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta}+z}{e^{i\theta}-z}\log|f(e^{i\theta})|d\theta\Big\}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ the algebra of bounded analytic functions. Note that $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ has the so-called F-property [12, 2]: if $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ and U is an inner function such that $f/U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ then $f/U \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ and $\|f/U\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \leq C_\alpha \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha}$, where C_α is independent of f. Korenblum [8] has described the closed ideals of the algebra H_1^2 of analytic functions f such that $f' \in H^2$, where H^2 is the Hardy space. This result has been extended to some other Banach algebras of analytic functions, by Matheson for lip_α [9] and by Shamoyan for the algebra $\lambda_\omega^{(n)}$ of analytic functions f on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$|f^{(n)}(\zeta_1) - f^{(n)}(\zeta_2)| = o(\omega(|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|)) \ \ as \ |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \to 0,$$

where n is a nonnegative integer and ω an arbitrary nonnegative nondecreasing subadditive function on $(0, +\infty)$ [11]. Shirokov [13, 12] had given a complete description of closed ideals for Besov algebras $AB^s_{p,q}$ of analytic functions and particularly for the case $s > 1/2$ and $p = q = 2$

$$AB^s_{2,2} = \Big\{f \in A(\mathbb{D}) : \sum_{n\geq 0} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2(1+n)^{2s} < \infty\Big\}.$$

Note that the case of $AB^{1/2}_{2,2} = A(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{D}$ the problem of description of closed ideals appears to be much more difficult (see [6, 4]). The purpose of this paper is to describe the structure of the closed ideals of the Banach algebras $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$. More precisely we prove that these ideals are standard in the sense of the Beurling-Rudin characterization of the closed ideals in the disc algebra [7]:

Theorem 1.1. *If $\mathcal{I}$ is closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$, then*

$$\mathcal{I} = \Big\{f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha : f_{|E_{\mathcal{I}}} = 0 \ and \ f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})\Big\},$$

where $E_{\mathcal{I}} := \{z \in \mathbb{T} : f(z) = 0, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{I}\}$ and $U_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of the non-zero functions in $\mathcal{I}$.

Such characterization of closed ideals can be reduced further to a problem of approximation of outer functions using the Beurling–Carleman–Domar resolvent method. Define $d(\xi, E)$ to be the distance from $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ to the set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ is a closed ideal in $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ such that $U_{\mathcal{I}} = 1$. We have $Z_{\mathcal{I}} = E_{\mathcal{I}}$, where

$$Z_{\mathcal{I}} := \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \,:\, f(z) = 0,\ \forall f \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Next, for $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ such that

$$|f(\xi)| \leq C d(\xi, E_{\mathcal{I}})^{M_\alpha} \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{T}),$$

where M_α is a positive constant depending only on $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$, we have $f \in \mathcal{I}$ (see section 3 for more precisions). Now, to prove Theorem 1.1 we need Theorem 1.2 below, which states that every function in $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \{0\}$ can be approximated in $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ by functions with boundary zeros of arbitrary high order.

Theorem 1.2. *Let f be a function in $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \{0\}$ and let $M > 0$. There exists a sequence of functions $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset A(\mathbb{D})$ such that*

1. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $f_n = f g_n \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ and $\lim\limits_{n \to \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} = 0$.*
2. $|g_n(\xi)| \leq C_n d^M(\xi, E_f) \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{T})$,

where $E_f := \{\xi \in \mathbb{T} \,:\, f(\xi) = 0\}$.

To prove this Theorem, we give a refinement of the classical Korenblum approximation theory [8, 9, 11, 13, 12].

2. MAIN RESULT ON APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS IN $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$

We begin by fixing some notations. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ and let $\{\gamma_n := (a_n, b_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the countable collection of the (disjoint open) arcs of $\mathbb{T} \setminus E_f$. Without loss of the generality, we can suppose that the arc lengths of γ_n are less than $1/2$. In what follows, we denote by Γ the union of a family of arcs γ_n. Define

$$f_\Gamma(z) := \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log|f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\}.$$

The difficult part in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is to establish the following

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \{0\}$ be an outer function such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \leq 1$ and let $N \geq 1$ and $\rho > 1$. Then we have $f^\rho f_\Gamma^N \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ and*

$$\sup_\Gamma \|f^\rho f_\Gamma^N\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \leq C_{N,\rho}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $C_{N,\rho}$ *is a positive constant independent of* Γ.

Remark 2.2. *For a set* $S \subset A(\mathbb{D})$, *we denote by* $co(S)$ *the convex hull of* S *consisting of the intersection of all convex sets that contain* S. *Set* $\Gamma_n = \cup_{m\geq n}\gamma_m$ *and let* f *be as in the Theorem 2.1. It is clear that the sequence* $(f^\rho f^N_{\Gamma_n})_n$ *converges uniformly on compact subsets of* $\mathbb{D}$ *to* f^ρ. *We use* (2.1) *to deduce, by the Hilbertian structure of* $\mathcal{D}$, *that there is a sequence* $h_n \in co(\{f^\rho f^N_{\Gamma_m}\}_{m=n}^\infty)$ *converging to* f^ρ *in* $\mathcal{D}$. *Also, by* [9, section4], *we obtain that* h_n *converges to* f^ρ *in* lip_α, *for sufficiently large* N *(in fact, we can prove that this result remains true for every* $N \geq 1$*). Therefore* $\|h_n - f^\rho\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \to 0$, *as* $n \to \infty$.

Define $\mathcal{J}(F)$ to be the closed ideal of all functions in $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ that vanish on $F \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we need the following classical lemma, see for instance [9, Lemma 4] and [8, Lemma 24].

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ *and* E' *be a finite subset of* $\mathbb{T}$ *such that* $f_{|E'} = 0$. *Let* $M > 0$ *be given. For every* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there is an outer function* F *in* $\mathcal{J}(E')$ *such that*

(1) $\|Ff - f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \leq \varepsilon$,

(2) $|F(\xi)| \leq Cd^M(\xi, E') \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{T})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 : Now, we can deduce the proof of Theorem 1.2 by using Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3. Indeed, let f be a function in $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \leq 1$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. For $m \geq 1$ we have

$$(fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}} - f)' = (O_f^{\frac{1}{m}} - 1)f' + \frac{1}{m}U_f O_f^{\frac{1}{m}} O_f'.$$

The F-property of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ implies that $O_f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$. Then, there exists $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}} - f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} < \epsilon/3 \qquad (m \geq \eta_0).$$

Set $\Gamma_n = \cup_{p\geq n}\gamma_p$ and $N \geq M/\alpha$ for a given $M > 0$. By Remark 2.2 applied to O_f (with $\rho = 1 + \frac{1}{m}$), there is a sequence $k_{n,m} \in co(\{f^N_{\Gamma_p}\}_{p=n}^\infty)$ such that

$$\|O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}} k_{n,m} - O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} < \frac{1}{m} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N},\ m \geq 1).$$

It is clear that

$$\|O_f^{\frac{1}{m}} f^N_{\Gamma_n} - O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}\|_\infty \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (n \longrightarrow +\infty).$$

Then for every $m \geq 1$ we get

$$\|O_f^{\frac{1}{m}} k_{n,m} - O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}\|_\infty \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (n \longrightarrow +\infty).$$

So, there is a sequence $k_m \in co(\{f^N_{\Gamma_p}\}_{p=m}^{\infty})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \|O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}}k_m - O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \le \frac{1}{m} & (m \ge 1), \\ \|O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}\|_\infty \le \frac{1}{m} & (m \ge 1). \end{cases}$$

We have

$$(fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}})' = (f' - U_f O_f^{'})(O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}) + U_f(O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}}k_m - O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}})'.$$

Since $\|O_f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \le C_\alpha \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \le C_\alpha$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \\ = \; & \|fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}\|_\infty + \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\{(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha}|(fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}})'(z)|\} \\ & + D^{1/2}(fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}) \\ \le \; & \|fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}\|_\infty + C_\alpha\|f\|_\alpha \|O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}\|_\infty \\ & + \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\{(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha}|(O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}}k_m - O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}})'(z)|\} \\ & + C\|O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}\|_\infty D^{1/2}(f) + CD^{1/2}(O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}}k_m - O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}}) \\ \le \; & C_\alpha \|O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}\|_\infty + C\|O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}}k_m - O_f^{1+\frac{1}{m}}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \\ \le \; & \frac{C_\alpha}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, fix $\eta_1 \ge \eta_0$ such that

$$\|fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m - fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} < \epsilon/3 \qquad (m \ge \eta_1).$$

We have $k_m = \sum_{i\le j_m} c_i f^N_{\Gamma_i}$, where $\sum_{i\le j_m} c_i = 1$. Set $E'_m = \cup_{i<j_m}\partial\gamma_i$. Using Lemma 2.3, we obtain an outer function $F_m \in \mathcal{J}(E_m^{'})$ such that $|F_m(\zeta)| \le C_m d^M(\zeta, E_m^{'})$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ and

$$\|fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_mF_m - fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} < \frac{1}{m} \qquad (m \ge 1).$$

Then fix $\eta_2 \ge \eta_1$ such that

$$\|fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_mF_m - fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_m\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} < \epsilon/3 \qquad (m \ge \eta_2).$$

Consequently we obtain

$$\|fO_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_mF_m - f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} < \epsilon \qquad (m \ge \eta_2).$$

It is not hard to see that

$$|O_f^{\frac{1}{m}}k_mF_m(\xi)| \le |k_mF_m(\xi)| \le C_m d^M(\xi, E_f) \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{T}).$$

Therefore $g_m = O_f^{\frac{1}{m}} k_m F_m$ is the desired sequence, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

3. Beurling–Carleman–Domar resolvent method

Since $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \subset \mathrm{lip}_\alpha$, then for all $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, E_f satisfies the Carleson condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \frac{1}{d(e^{it}, E_f)} dt < +\infty.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, we denote by B_f the Blashke product with zeros $Z_f \setminus E_f$, where $Z_f := \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : f(z) = 0\}$. We begin with following lemma

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$. Define $B_{\mathcal{I}}$ to be the Blashke product with zeros $Z_{\mathcal{I}} \backslash E_{\mathcal{I}}$. There is a function $f \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $B_f = B_{\mathcal{I}}$.*

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{I}$ and let B_n be the Blashke product with zeros $Z_g \cap \mathbb{D}_n$, where $\mathbb{D}_n := \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| < \frac{n-1}{n},\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Set $g_n = g/K_n$, where $K_n = B_n/I_n$ and I_n is the Blashke product with zeros $Z_{\mathcal{I}} \cap \mathbb{D}_n$. We have $g_n \in \mathcal{I}$ for every n. Indeed, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is permissible to assume that Z_{K_n} consists of a single point, say $Z_{K_n} = \{w\}$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{A}_\alpha \to \mathcal{A}_\alpha/\mathcal{I}$ be the canonical quotient map. First suppose $w \notin Z_{\mathcal{I}}$, then $\pi(K_n)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}_\alpha/\mathcal{I}$. It follows that $\pi(g_n) = \pi(g)\pi^{-1}(K_n) = 0$, hence $g_n \in \mathcal{I}$. If $w \in Z_{\mathcal{I}}$, we consider the following ideal $\mathcal{J}_w := \{f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha : fI_n \in \mathcal{I}\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{J}_w$ is closed. Since $w \notin Z_{\mathcal{J}_w}$, it follows that K_n is invertible in the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}_\alpha/\mathcal{J}_w$ and so $g/(I_n K_n) \in \mathcal{J}_w$. Hence $g_n \in \mathcal{I}$. It is clear that g_n converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ to $f = (g/B_g)B_{\mathcal{I}}$ and we have $B_f = B_{\mathcal{I}}$. In the sequel we prove that $f \in \mathcal{I}$. If we obtain

$$|(g_n)'(z)| \leq o\Big(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1-\alpha}}\Big) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

uniformly with respect to n, we can deduce by using [9, Lemma 1] that $\lim\limits_{n \to +\infty} \|g_n - f\|_\alpha = 0$. Indeed, by the Cauchy integral formula

$$\begin{aligned}(g_n)'(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{g(\zeta)\overline{K_n(\zeta)}}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(g(\zeta) - g(z/|z|))\overline{K_n(\zeta)}}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D}).\end{aligned}$$

Then, for $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\big(g_n\big)'(z)| &\leq \frac{\|K_n\|_\infty}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|g(\zeta) - g(z/|z|)|}{|\zeta - z|^2} |d\zeta| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|g(e^{i(t+\theta)}) - g(e^{i\theta})|}{1 - 2r\cos t + r^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\eta > 0$ such that if $|t| \leq \eta$, we have

$$|g(e^{i(t+\theta)}) - g(e^{i\theta})| \leq \varepsilon |t|^\alpha \qquad (\theta \in [-\pi, +\pi]).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|g(e^{i(t+\theta)}) - g(e^{i\theta})|}{1 - 2r\cos t + r^2} dt \\ \leq\ & \varepsilon \int_{|t|\leq\eta} \frac{|t|^\alpha}{(1-r)^2 + 4rt^2/\pi^2} dt + \|g\|_\alpha \int_{|t|\geq\eta} \frac{|t|^\alpha}{(1-r)^2 + 4rt^2/\pi^2} dt \\ \leq\ & \frac{\varepsilon}{r^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(1-r)^{1-\alpha}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{u^\alpha}{1 + (2u/\pi)^2} du \\ & \qquad + \frac{\|g\|_\alpha}{r^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(1-r)^{1-\alpha}} \int_{|u|\geq\frac{\eta\sqrt{r}}{1-r}} \frac{u^\alpha}{1 + (2u/\pi)^2} du \\ \leq\ & \varepsilon O\Big(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1-\alpha}}\Big) + \|g\|_\alpha o\Big(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1-\alpha}}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|g(e^{i(t+\theta)}) - g(e^{i\theta})|}{1 - 2r\cos t + r^2} dt \leq \|g\|_\alpha o\Big(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1-\alpha}}\Big). \tag{3.1}$$

Consequently

$$|\big(g_n\big)'(z)| \leq \|g\|_\alpha o\Big(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1-\alpha}}\Big) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

By the F-property of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$, we have $\|g_n\| \leq C_\alpha \|g\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha}$. Using the Hilbertian structure of $\mathcal{D}$, we deduce that there is a sequence $h_n \in co(\{g_k\}_{k=n}^\infty)$ converging to f in $\mathcal{D}$. It is clear that $h_n \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} \|h_n - f\|_\alpha = 0$. Then $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} \|h_n - f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} = 0$. Thus $f \in \mathcal{I}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, we can prove Theorem 1.1 and deduce that each closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is standard. For the sake of completeness, we sketch here the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 : Define γ on $\mathbb{D}$ by $\gamma(z) = z$ and let $\pi : \mathcal{A}_\alpha \to \mathcal{A}_\alpha/\mathcal{I}$ be the canonical quotient map. Also, let $f \in \mathcal{J}(E_\mathcal{I})$

be such that $f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $(f_n)_n$ be the sequence in Theorem 1.2 associated to f with $M \geq 3$. More exactly, we have $f_n = fg_n$, where $|g_n(\xi)| \leq d^3(\xi, E_f) \leq d^3(\xi, E_{\mathcal{I}})$. Define

$$\mathrm{L}_\lambda(f)(z) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{f(z)-f(\lambda)}{z-\lambda} & \text{if } z \neq \lambda, \\ f'(\lambda) & \text{if } z = \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\pi(f)(\pi(\gamma)-\lambda)^{-1} = f(\lambda)(\pi(\gamma)-\lambda)^{-1} + \pi\big(\mathrm{L}_\lambda(f)\big). \tag{3.2}$$

It is clear that $(\pi(\gamma)-\lambda)^{-1}$ is an analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus Z_{\mathcal{I}}$. Note that the multiplicity of the pole $z_0 \in Z_{\mathcal{I}} \cap \mathbb{D}$ of $(\pi(\gamma)-\lambda)^{-1}$ is equal to the multiplicity of the zero z_0 of $U_{\mathcal{I}}$. Since $U_{\mathcal{I}}$ divides f, then according to (3.2) we can deduce that $\pi(f)(\pi(\gamma)-\lambda)^{-1}$ is an analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E_{\mathcal{I}}$. Let $|\lambda| > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(f)(\pi(\gamma)-\lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\gamma^n\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} |\lambda|^{-n-1} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \frac{C}{(|\lambda|-1)^{3/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By Lemma 3.1, there is $g \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $B_g = B_{\mathcal{I}}$. Let $k = f(g/B_g)$. Then $k = (f/B_{\mathcal{I}})g \in \mathcal{I}$ and for $|\lambda| < 1$, we have

$$k(\lambda)(\pi(\gamma)-\lambda)^{-1} = -\pi\big(\mathrm{L}_\lambda(k)\big).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(f)(\pi(\gamma)-\lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} &\leq |f(\lambda)| \|(\pi(\gamma)-\lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} + \|\mathrm{L}_\lambda(f)\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{\|\mathrm{L}_\lambda(k)\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha}}{|g/B_g|(\lambda)} + \|\mathrm{L}_\lambda(f)\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{C(f,k)}{(1-|\lambda|)|g/B_g|(\lambda)} \\ &\leq C(f,k) e^{\frac{C}{1-|\lambda|}} \quad (|\lambda| < 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

We use [14, Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9] to deduce

$$\|\pi(f)(\pi(\gamma)-\xi)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C(f,k)}{d(\xi, E_{\mathcal{I}})^3} \qquad (1 \leq |\xi| \leq 2,\ \xi \notin E_{\mathcal{I}}).$$

Then, we obtain

$$\xi \mapsto |(g_n)(\xi)| \|\pi(f)(\pi(\gamma)-\xi)^{-1}\| \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}).$$

With a simple calculation as in [5, Lemma 2.4], we can deduce that

$$\pi(f_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (g_n)(\xi) \pi(f)(\pi(\gamma)-\xi)^{-1} d\xi.$$

Denote $\mathcal{I}^{\infty}_{U_{\mathcal{I}}}(E_{\mathcal{I}}) := \{h \in A(\mathbb{D}) : h_{|E_{\mathcal{I}}} = 0 \text{ and } h/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in A(\mathbb{D})\}$. From [7, p. 81], we know that $\mathcal{I}^{\infty}_{U_{\mathcal{I}}}(E_{\mathcal{I}})$ has an approximate identity $(e_m)_{m\geq 1} \in \mathcal{I}^{\infty}_{U_{\mathcal{I}}}(E_{\mathcal{I}})$ such that $\|e_m\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. $\mathcal{I}$ is dense in $\mathcal{I}^{\infty}_{U_{\mathcal{I}}}(E_{\mathcal{I}})$ with respect to the sup norm $\|\ .\ \|_{\infty}$, so there exists $(u_m)_{m\geq 1} \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\|u_m\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} u_m(\xi) = 1$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{T} \setminus E_{\mathcal{I}}$. Therefore $\pi(f_n) = \pi(f_n - f_n u_m) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. Then $f_n \in \mathcal{I}$ and $f \in \mathcal{I}$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on a series of lemmas. In what follows, C_ρ will denote a positive number that depends only on ρ, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. For an open subset Δ of $\mathbb{D}$, we put

$$\|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta)} := \int_{\Delta} |f'(z)|^2 dA(z).$$

We begin with the following key lemma

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ be such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \leq 1$ and let $\rho > 1$ be given. Then*

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(e^{it})|^{2\rho}}{d(e^{it})} dt \leq C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)},$$

where $a, b \in E_f$, $\gamma = (a,b) \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus E_f$, $d(z) := \min\{|z-a|, |z-b|\}$ and $\Delta_\gamma := \{z \in \mathbb{D} : z/|z| \in \gamma\}$.

Proof. Let $e^{it} \in \gamma$ and define $z_t := (1 - d(e^{it}))e^{it}$. Since $|\gamma| < 1/2$, we obtain $|z_t| > 1/2$. We have

$$|f(e^{it})|^{2\rho} \leq 2^{2\rho-1} \Big(|f(e^{it}) - f(z_t)|^{2\rho} + |f(z_t)|^{2\rho} \Big). \tag{4.1}$$

By Hölder's inequality combined with the fact that $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(e^{it}) - f(z_t)|^{2\rho} &= |f(e^{it}) - f(z_t)|^{2\rho-2} |f(e^{it}) - f(z_t)|^2 \\ &\leq 2^{2\rho-2}(1 - |z_t|) \int_{|z_t|}^1 |f'(re^{it})|^2 dr \\ &\leq 2^{2\rho-1} d(e^{it}) \int_0^1 |f'(re^{it})|^2 r dr. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(e^{it}) - f(z_t)|^{2\rho}}{d(e^{it})} dt &\leq 2^{2\rho-1} \int_{\gamma} \int_0^1 |f'(re^{it})|^2 r dr dt \\ &\leq 2^{2\rho-1} \pi \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Since $d(e^{it}) \leq 1/2$, we obtain $\frac{d(e^{it})}{\sqrt{2}} \leq d(z_t) \leq \sqrt{2}d(e^{it})$. Put $d(z_t) = |z_t - \xi|$ and note that either $\xi = a$ or $\xi = b$. Let

$$z_t(u) = (1-u)z_t + u\xi \qquad (0 \leq u \leq 1).$$

With a simple calculation, we can prove that for all $e^{it} \in \gamma$ and for all u, $0 \leq u \leq 1$, we have

$$|z_t(u) - w| > \frac{1}{2}(1-u)d(e^{it}) \qquad (w \in \partial\Delta_\gamma),$$

where $\partial\Delta_\gamma$ is the boundary of Δ_γ. Then $\mathbb{D}_{t,u} := \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z - z_t(u)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1-u)d(e^{it})\} \subset \Delta_\gamma$, for all $e^{it} \in \gamma$ and for all u, $0 \leq u \leq 1$. Since $|f'(z)|$ is subharmonic on $\mathbb{D}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z_t(u))| &\leq \frac{4}{\pi(1-u)^2 d^2(e^{it})} \int_{\mathbb{D}_{t,u}} |f'(z)| dA(z) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}(1-u)d(e^{it})} \|f'\|_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\varepsilon_\rho = 2\alpha(\rho - 1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^\rho(z_t)|^2 &= |f^\rho(z_t) - f^\rho(\xi)|^2 \\ &= \rho^2 |z_t - \xi|^2 \Big| \int_0^1 f^{\rho-1}(z_t(u)) f'(z_t(u)) du \Big|^2 \\ &\leq C_\rho d^2(e^{it}) \Big(\int_0^1 |z_t(u) - \xi|^{\frac{\varepsilon_\rho}{2}} |f'(z_t(u))| du \Big)^2 \\ &\leq C_\rho d^{\varepsilon_\rho}(e^{it}) \Big(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-u)^{1-\frac{\varepsilon_\rho}{2}}} du \Big)^2 \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)} \\ &\leq C_\rho d^{\varepsilon_\rho}(e^{it}) \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_\gamma \frac{|f(z_t)|^{2\rho}}{d(e^{it})} dt \leq C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Therefore the result follows from (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3). □

In the sequel, we denote by f an outer function in $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha} \leq 1$ and we fix a constant ρ, $1 < \rho \leq 2$. By [9, Theorem B], we have $f^\rho f_\Gamma^N \in \mathrm{lip}_\alpha$ and $\|f^\rho f_\Gamma^N\|_{\mathrm{lip}_\alpha} \leq C_{N,\rho}$. To prove Theorem 2.1 we need to estimate the integral $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f^\rho f_\Gamma^N)'|^2 dA(z)$. Define

$$g_\Gamma(z) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{e^{i\theta}}{(e^{i\theta} - z)^2} \log|f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta. \tag{4.4}$$

Clearly we have $f' = f(g_{\Gamma} + g_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma})$ and $(f_{\Gamma}^N)' = Nf_{\Gamma}^N g_{\Gamma}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\rho}(f_{\Gamma}^N)' &= Nf^{\rho}f_{\Gamma}^N g_{\Gamma} && (4.5)\\ &= f^{\rho-1}Nf'f_{\Gamma}^N - Nf^{\rho}f_{\Gamma}^N g_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}. && (4.6)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, it is obvious that $\|f_{\Gamma}^N\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $\|f^{\rho-1}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. Hence, by (4.5) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |(f^{\rho}f_{\Gamma}^N)'|^2 dA(z) \leq \rho^2 + N^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{\rho}(f_{\Gamma})'|^2 dA(z). \qquad (4.7)$$

We fix $\gamma = (a,b) \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus E_f$ such that $f(a) = f(b) = 0$. Our purpose in what follows is to estimate the integral

$$\int_{\Delta_{\gamma}} |f^{\rho}(f_{\Gamma})'|^2 dA(z) \qquad (4.8)$$

which we can rewrite as

$$\int_{\Delta_{\gamma}} |f^{\rho}(f_{\Gamma})'|^2 dA(z) = \int_{\Delta_{\gamma}^1} + \int_{\Delta_{\gamma}^2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\gamma}^1 &:= \Big\{ z \in \Delta_{\gamma} : \ d(z) < 2(1-|z|) \Big\}\\ \Delta_{\gamma}^2 &:= \Big\{ z \in \Delta_{\gamma} : \ d(z) \geq 2(1-|z|) \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

4.1. **The integral on the region Δ_{γ}^1.** We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2.

$$\int_{\Delta_{\gamma}} \frac{|f(z) - f(z/|z|)|^{2\rho}}{(1-|z|)^2} dA(z) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha(\rho-1)} \|f'\|_{L^2(\Delta_{\gamma})}^2.$$

Proof. Let $z = re^{it} \in \Delta_{\gamma}$ and put $\varepsilon_{\rho} = 2\alpha(\rho-1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} r|f(re^{it}) - f(e^{it})|^{2\rho} &= r|f(re^{it}) - f(e^{it})|^{2\rho-2}|f(re^{it}) - f(e^{it})|^2\\ &\leq r(1-r)^{1+\varepsilon_{\rho}} \int_r^1 |f'(se^{it})|^2 ds\\ &\leq (1-r)^{1+\varepsilon_{\rho}} \int_0^1 |f'(se^{it})|^2 s ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Delta_\gamma} \frac{|f(z)-f(z/|z|)|^{2\rho}}{(1-|z|)^2} dA(z)\\
= &\int_0^1 \Big(\int_\gamma |f(re^{it})-f(e^{it})|^{2\rho} \frac{rdt}{\pi} \Big) \frac{dr}{(1-r)^2}\\
\leq &\|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-r)^{1-\varepsilon_\rho}} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Now, we can state the following result.

Lemma 4.3.

$$\int_{\Delta^1_\gamma} |f(z)|^{2\rho} |f'_\Gamma(z)|^2 dA(z) \leq C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)}.$$

Proof. By Cauchy's estimate, it follows that $|f'_\Gamma(re^{it})| \leq \frac{1}{1-r}$. Using Lemma 4.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Delta^1_\gamma} |f(z)|^{2\rho} |f'_\Gamma(z)|^2 dA(z)\\
\leq &\int_{\Delta^1_\gamma} \frac{|f(z)|^{2\rho}}{(1-|z|)^2} dA(z)\\
\leq &C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)} + 2^{2\rho-1} \int_{\Delta^1_\gamma} \frac{|f(z/|z|)|^{2\rho}}{(1-|z|)^2} dA(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Using Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Delta^1_\gamma} \frac{|f(z/|z|)|^{2\rho}}{(1-|z|)^2} dA(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Delta^1_\gamma} \frac{|f(e^{it})|^{2\rho}}{(1-r)^2} rdrdt\\
&\leq \frac{C}{\pi} \int_\gamma \frac{|f(e^{it})|^{2\rho}}{d(e^{it})} dt\\
&\leq C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

The result of our lemma follows by combining the estimates (4.9) and (4.10). □

4.2. **The integral on the region Δ^2_γ.** In this subsection, we estimate the integral $\int_{\Delta^2_\gamma} |f(z)|^{2\rho} |f'_\Gamma(z)|^2 dA(z)$. Before this, we make some remarks. For $z \in \mathbb{D}$ define

$$a_\gamma(z) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \displaystyle\int_\Gamma \frac{-\log|f(e^{i\theta})|}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} d\theta & \text{if } \gamma \nsubseteq \Gamma, \\ \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma} \frac{-\log|f(e^{i\theta})|}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} d\theta & \text{if } \gamma \subseteq \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Using the equation (4.5), it is easy to see that

$$|f(z)^\rho f_\Gamma'(z)|^2 \leq 4 \left| f(z)^\rho \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{-\log|f(e^{i\theta})|}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} d\theta \right|^2. \tag{4.11}$$

Using the equation (4.6), it is clear that

$$|f(z)^\rho f_\Gamma'(z)|^2 \leq 2|f'(z)|^2 + 8 \left| f(z)^\rho \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma} \frac{-\log|f(e^{i\theta})|}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} d\theta \right|^2. \tag{4.12}$$

Then

$$\int_{\Delta_\gamma^2} |f(z)|^{2\rho} |f_\Gamma'(z)|^2 dA(z) \leq 2\|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)} + 8 \int_{\Delta_\gamma^2} |f(z)|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(z) dA(z). \tag{4.13}$$

Since $\log|f| \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$, we have

$$a_\gamma(z) \leq \frac{C}{d^2(z)} \qquad (z \in \Delta_\gamma). \tag{4.14}$$

Given such inequality, it is not easy to estimate immediately the integral of the function $|f(z)|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(z)$ on the whole Δ_γ^2. In what follows, we give a partition of Δ_γ^2 into three parts so that one can estimate the integral $\int |f(z)|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(z) dA(z)$ on each part. Let $z \in \Delta_\gamma^2$, three situations are possible :

$$a_\gamma(z) \leq 8 \frac{|\log(d(z))|}{d(z)}, \tag{4.15}$$

$$8 \frac{|\log(d(z))|}{d(z)} < a_\gamma(z) < 8 \frac{|\log(d(z))|}{1-r}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$8 \frac{|\log(d(z))|}{1-r} \leq a_\gamma(z). \tag{4.17}$$

We can now divide Δ_γ^2 into the following three parts

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\gamma^{21} &:= \Big\{ z \in \Delta_\gamma^2 : z \text{ satisfying } (4.15) \Big\}, \\
\Delta_\gamma^{22} &:= \Big\{ z \in \Delta_\gamma^2 : z \text{ satisfying } (4.16) \Big\}, \\
\Delta_\gamma^{23} &:= \Big\{ z \in \Delta_\gamma^2 : z \text{ satisfying } (4.17) \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.2.1. ***The integral on the regions Δ_γ^{21} and Δ_γ^{23}.*** In this case we begin by the following

Lemma 4.4.

$$\int_{\Delta_\gamma^{21}} |f(z)|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(z)dA(z) \leq C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Delta_\gamma^{21}} |f(z)|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(z)dA(z) \\
\leq\ & 2^\rho \int_{\Delta_\gamma^{21}} |f(z)|^{\rho-1}|f(z)-f(z/|z|)|^{\rho+1} a_\gamma^2(z)dA(z) \\
&\qquad + 2^\rho \int_{\Delta_\gamma^{21}} |f(z)|^{\rho-1}|f(z/|z|)|^{\rho+1} a_\gamma^2(z)dA(z) \\
\leq\ & C_\rho \int_{\Delta_\gamma} \frac{|f(z)-f(z/|z|)|^{\rho+1}}{(1-|z|)^2} dA(z) + C_\rho \int_{\Delta_\gamma^{21}} \frac{|f(e^{it})|^{\rho+1}}{d^2(e^{it})} rdrdt \\
\leq\ & C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)} + C_\rho \int_{\Delta_\gamma^{21}} \frac{|f(e^{it})|^{\rho+1}}{d^2(e^{it})} drdt = I_{2,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $e^{it} \in \gamma$ and denote by ζ_t the point of $\partial\Delta_\gamma^2 \cap \mathbb{D}$ such that $\zeta_t/|\zeta_t| = e^{it}$. We have

$$|e^{it} - \zeta_t| = 1 - |\zeta_t| = \frac{d(\zeta_t)}{2} \leq d(e^{it}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Delta_\gamma^{21}} \frac{|f(e^{it})|^{\rho+1}}{d^2(e^{it})} drdt &\leq \int_{\Delta_\gamma^2} \frac{|f(e^{it})|^{\rho+1}}{d^2(e^{it})} drdt \\
&= \int_\gamma \frac{|f(e^{it})|^{\rho+1}}{d^2(e^{it})} \int_{|\zeta_t|}^1 drdt \\
&\leq \int_\gamma \frac{|f(e^{it})|^{\rho+1}}{d(e^{it})} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.1, we get

$$I_{2,1} \leq C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)}.$$

This proves the result. □

Lemma 4.5.

$$\int_{\Delta_\gamma^{23}} |f(z)|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(z) dA(z) \leq CA(\Delta_\gamma),$$

where $A(\Delta_\gamma)$ *is the area measure of* Δ_γ.

Proof. Set

$$\Lambda_\gamma := \begin{cases} \Gamma & \text{for } \gamma \nsubseteq \Gamma, \\ \mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma & \text{for } \gamma \subseteq \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Let $z \in \Delta_\gamma^{23}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-r^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} \log|f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\} \\ &\leq \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Lambda_\gamma} \frac{1-r}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} \log|f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{ -(1-r)a_\gamma(z) \right\} \\ &\leq d^8(z). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.14), we obtain the result. □

4.2.2. ***The integral on the region*** Δ_γ^{22}**.** Here, we will give an estimate of the following integral

$$\int_{\Delta_\gamma^{22}} |f(z)|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(z) dA(z).$$

Before doing this, we begin with some lemmas. The next one is essential for what follows. Note that a similar result is used by different authors: Korenblum [8], Matheson [9], Shamoyan [11] and Shirokov [13, 12].

Lemma 4.6. *Let* $z \in \Delta_\gamma^{22}$ *and let* $\mu_z = 1 - \frac{8|\log(d(z))|}{a_\gamma(z)}$. *Then*

$$|f(\mu_z z)| \leq d^2(z). \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. Let $z \in \Delta_\gamma$ and let $\mu < 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\mu z)| &= \exp\Big\{ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-(\mu r)^2}{|e^{i\theta}-\mu z|^2}\log|f(e^{i\theta})|d\theta \Big\} \\ &\leq \exp\Big\{ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Lambda_\gamma} \frac{1-(\mu r)^2}{|e^{i\theta}-\mu z|^2}\log|f(e^{i\theta})|d\theta \Big\} \\ &\leq \exp\Big\{ -(1-\mu r)\inf_{\theta\in\Lambda_\gamma}\Big| \frac{e^{i\theta}-z}{e^{i\theta}-\mu z}\Big|^2 a_\gamma(z) \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $z \in \Delta_\gamma^{22}$, it is clear that $1-\mu_z \leq d(z) \leq |e^{i\theta}-z|$ for all $e^{i\theta} \in \Lambda_\gamma$. Then

$$\inf_{\theta\in\Lambda_\gamma}\Big| \frac{e^{i\theta}-z}{e^{i\theta}-\mu_z z}\Big| \geq \frac{1}{2} \qquad (z \in \Delta_\gamma^{22}).$$

Thus

$$|f(\mu_z z)| \leq \exp\Big\{ -\frac{1-\mu_z}{4}a_\gamma(z) \Big\} \qquad (z \in \Delta_\gamma^{22}).$$

Then, we have

$$|f(\mu_z z)| \leq \exp\Big\{ -\frac{1}{4}(1-\mu_z)a_\gamma(z) \Big\} = d^2(z) \qquad (z \in \Delta_\gamma^{22}),$$

which yields (4.18). $\square$

For $r < 1$, define

$$\gamma_r := \{z \in \mathbb{D} \,:\, |z| = r \text{ and } z/|z| \in \gamma\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $d(z) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $z \in \Delta_\gamma^2$. We need the following

Lemma 4.7. *Let $r < 1$. Then*

$$\int_{\gamma_r\cap\Delta_\gamma^{22}} |f(re^{it}) - f(\mu_{re^{it}}re^{it})|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(re^{it}) r dt \leq \frac{C_\rho}{(1-r)^{1-\varepsilon_\rho}}\|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)},$$

where $\varepsilon_\rho = \alpha(\rho-1)$.

Proof. Let $re^{it} \in \Delta_\gamma^{22}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(re^{it}) - f(\mu_{re^{it}}re^{it})|^{\rho-1}[(1-\mu_{re^{it}})a_\gamma(re^{it})]^2 \\ &\qquad\qquad \leq 64(1-\mu_{re^{it}})^{\varepsilon_\rho}\log^2(d(re^{it})) \leq C_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $1-r \leq 1-\mu_{re^{it}} \leq d(re^{it}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and so $\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu_{re^{it}} \leq r$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\gamma_r \cap \Delta_\gamma^{22}} |f(re^{it}) - f(\mu_{re^{it}} re^{it})|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(re^{it}) r dt \\
\leq\ & C_\rho \int_{\gamma_r \cap \Delta_\gamma^{22}} \frac{|f(re^{it}) - f(\mu_{re^{it}} re^{it})|^{\rho+1}}{(1-\mu_{re^{it}})^2} r dt \\
\leq\ & \frac{C_\rho}{(1-r)^{1-\varepsilon_\rho}} \int_{\gamma_r \cap \Delta_\gamma^{22}} \frac{|f(re^{it}) - f(\mu_{re^{it}} re^{it})|^{2}}{1-\mu_{re^{it}}} r dt \\
\leq\ & \frac{C_\rho}{(1-r)^{1-\varepsilon_\rho}} \int_{\gamma_r \cap \Delta_\gamma^{22}} \left(\int_{\mu_{re^{it}} r}^{r} |f'(se^{it})|^2 ds \right) r dt \\
\leq\ & \frac{C_\rho}{(1-r)^{1-\varepsilon_\rho}} \int_{S_r} |f'(se^{it})|^2 s ds dt \\
\leq\ & \frac{C_\rho}{(1-r)^{1-\varepsilon_\rho}} \int_{S_r} |f'(w)|^2 dA(w),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_r := \left\{ w \in \mathbb{D} \ :\ 0 \leq |w| \leq r \text{ and } \frac{w}{|w|} \in \gamma \right\}.$$

The proof is therefore completed. □

The last result that we need before giving the proof of Theorem 2.1 is the following one.

Lemma 4.8.

$$\int_{\Delta_\gamma^{22}} |f(z)|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(z) dA(z) \leq C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)} + CA(\Delta_\gamma).$$

Proof. Using (4.14) and Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Delta_\gamma^{22}} |f(z)|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(z) dA(z) \\
=\ & \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\gamma_r \cap \Delta_\gamma^{22}} |f(re^{it})|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(re^{it}) r dt \right) dr \\
\leq\ & CA(\Delta_\gamma) \\
& + 2^{2\rho-1} \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\gamma_r \cap \Delta_\gamma^{22}} |f(re^{it}) - f(\mu_{re^{it}} re^{it})|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(re^{it}) r dt \right) dr \\
\leq\ & CA(\Delta_\gamma) + C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

4.2.3. ***Conclusion.*** Now, according to (4.13) and Lemmas 4.4, 4.5 and 4.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Delta_\gamma^2} |f(z)|^{2\rho}|f'_\Gamma(z)|^2 dA(z) &\leq 2\|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)} + 8\int_{\Delta_\gamma^2} |f(z)|^{2\rho} a_\gamma^2(z) dA(z)\\ &\leq C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)} + CA(\Delta_\gamma).\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Lemma 4.3, we deduce that

$$\int_{\Delta_\gamma} |f(z)|^{2\rho}|f'_\Gamma(z)|^2 dA(z) \leq C_\rho \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_\gamma)} + CA(\Delta_\gamma).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^{2\rho}|f'_\Gamma(z)|^2 dA(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_{\gamma_n}} |f(z)|^{2\rho}|f'_\Gamma(z)|^2 dA(z)\\ &\leq C_\rho \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f'\|^2_{L^2(\Delta_{\gamma_n})} + C\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A(\Delta_{\gamma_n})\\ &\leq C_\rho.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank Professors A. Borichev, O. El Fallah and K. Kellay for the interest which they carried to this work.

References

[1] B. Bouya, *Idéaux fermés de certaines algèbres de fonctions analytiques,* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 343 (2006), no. 4, 235–238.

[2] L. Carleson, *A representation formula in the Dirichlet space,* Math. Z. 73 (1960), 190–196.

[3] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

[4] O. El-Fallah, K. Kellay, T. Ransford, *Cyclicity in the Dirichlet space*, Ark. Mat. 44 (1) (2006), 61–86.

[5] J. Esterle, E. Strouse, F. Zouakia, *Closed ideal of A^+ and the Cantor set*, J. reine angew. Math. 449 (1994), 65–79.

[6] H. Hedenmalm, A. Shields, *Invariant subspaces in Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Mich. Math. J. 37 (1990), 91–104.

[7] K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1988, Reprint of the 1962 original.

[8] B. I. Korenblum, *Invariant subspaces of the shift operator in a weighted Hilbert space*, Mat. Sb. 89(131)(1972), 110–138.

[9] A. Matheson, *Approximation of analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Mich. Math. J. 25 (1978), no. 3, 289–298.

[10] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, second ed., McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1974.

[11] F. A. Shamoyan, *Closed ideals in algebras of functions that are analytic in the disk and smooth up to its boundary*, Mat. Sb. 79 (1994), no. 2, 425–445.

[12] N. A. Shirokov, *Analytic functions smooth up to the boundary*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1312. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[13] N. A. Shirokov, *Closed ideals of algebras of B^{α}_{pq}–type*, (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Mat. 46 (1982), no. 6, 1316–1333.

[14] B. A. Taylor, D.L. Williams, *Ideals in rings of analytic functions with smooth boundary values*, Can. J. Math. 22 (1970), 1266–1283.

E-mail address: `brahimbouya@gmail.com`